



Application No: 09/677,493

Appeal No: 2009-1164

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: **Baoquoc N. To**, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way  
San Jose, CA 95133  
Tel: (408) 729-1282

E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

June 29, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Reply to Your "Response to Rule 312 Communication"**

Dear Mr. To,

This letter is to reply to your "Response to Rule 312 Communication" mailed to me on June 22, 2010. I could not find the fee for amendment under Rule 37 CFR 1.21. If I need to pay any amendment fee, please let me know the exact rule and amount.

Enclosed are the clean version of amended new Claims 1-5 and a floppy disk. I canceled claims 1 & 3 and rewrite claims 2 & 4-7 as you advised in the earlier communications.

I sent you a letter and the clean version and marked version of the amended specification of my patent application with original Claims 1-7 and an electric floppy disk with the clean version files on June 24, 2010. I have made some minor amendments to the specification including claims and figures by adding or deleting some detail descriptions of my invention, but I have not introduced any new matter. I insist that all Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-7 should be all allowed by your office. I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible. Once I get your approval, I will pay the patent issue fee of \$755.

I sent you a letter on May 21, 2010 with the amended claims to reply your "Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on May 17, 2010. I am glad to learn that all my claims are allowable. I sent the "Statement of the Substance of the Interview" to you on May 17, 2010 to response to your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on May 13, 2010. I sent my "Reply to 'Office Communication' and Request to Allow Claims 1-7" to your office on April 27, 2010 to reply your "Office Communication" mailed to me on April 15, 2010. I mailed the "Request for Reopen Prosecution before Examiner on the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" under 37 CFR § 41.77(b)(1) to your office on February 7, 2010 and on September 27, 2009, which state enough factual merits and legal bases to oppose the Board's decision to sustain your rejection of Claims 1 & 3.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. It has consumed ten years of my precious productive life now. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible. Once my patent is granted, I will apply the venture capital funds to implement it as commercial software products to contribute to our society.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "George G. Yang". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

George Guang Yang, Ph.D., *Pro Per*

Independent Inventor